

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Foundations of Political Economy
SS210c

TTh 2:30-4:00
210 Baxter

John Duggan
Spring, 2003

Dynamic Models in Political Economy

The focus of this course is dynamic models, especially as they pertain to political economy. The course will consist of a mix of lectures and student presentations of papers from the following list.

We won't be going over dynamic programming explicitly, but it is implicit in a lot of what we'll be doing. Here are a few references.

Dynamic Programming

- R. Sundaram (1996) *A First Course in Optimization Theory*, New York: Cambridge Press.
- N. Stokey and R. Lucas (1989) *Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics*, Cambridge: Harvard Press.
- D. Blackwell (1965) "Discounted Dynamic Programming," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 36: 226-235.

Our first topic is sequential voting. When more than two alternatives are involved, votes are scheduled according to an "agenda."

Sequential Voting and Binary Agendas

- R. Farquharson (1969) *The Theory of Voting*, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- R. McKelvey and R. Niemi (1978) "A Multistage Game Representation of Sophisticated Voting for Binary Procedures," *Journal of Economic Theory*, 18: 1-22.
- H. Moulin (1979) "Dominance Solvable Voting Schemes," *Econometrica*, 47: 1337-1351.

- H. Moulin (1983) *The Strategy of Social Choice*, New York: North-Holland.
- K. Shepsle and B. Weingast (1984) “Uncovered Sets and Sophisticated Voting Outcomes with Implications for Agenda Institutions,” *American Journal of Political Science*, 28: 49-74.
- J. Banks (1985) “Sophisticated Voting Outcomes and Agenda Control,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 1: 295-306.
- H. Moulin (1986) “Choosing from a Tournament,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 3: 271-291
- P. Ordeshook and T. Schwartz (1987) “Agendas and the Control of Political Outcomes,” *American Political Science Review*, 81: 179-199.
- J. Banks and Bordes (1988) “Voting Games, Indifference, and Consistent Sequential Choice Rules,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 5: 31-44.
- L. Marx and J. Swinkels (1997) “Order Independence for Iterated Weak Dominance,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 18: 219-245; (2000) “Corrigendum,” *GEB*, 31: 324-329.

We’ll also think about what happens when we endogenize the agenda. As you might expect, things get more complicated.

Endogenous Agendas

- J. Banks and F. Gasmi (1986) “Endogenous Agenda Formation in Three Person Committees,” *Social Choice and Welfare*, 4: 133-152.
- D. Austen-Smith (1987) “Sophisticated Sincerity: Voting Over Endogenous Agendas,” *American Political Science Review*, 81: 1323-1330.
- J. Duggan (2002) “Endogenous Amendment Agendas,” mimeo.
- B. Dutta, M. Jackson, and M. Le Breton (2002) “Equilibrium Agenda Formation,” mimeo.
- J. Ferejohn, M. Fiorina, and R. McKelvey (1987) “Sophisticated Voting and Agenda Independence in the Distributive Setting,” *American Journal of Political Science*, 31: 169-194.

- G. Kramer (1972) “Sophisticated Voting over Multidimensional Choice Spaces,” *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 2: 165-180.

We next consider a different way to model collective choice when more than two alternatives are available. Now the “agenda,” so to speak, is determined by a voter, the “proposer,” and the game is infinite-horizon.

Bargaining Models with Random Proposers

- D. Baron and J. Ferejohn (1989) “Bargaining in Legislatures,” *American Political Science Review*, 83: 1181-1206
- A. Merlo and C. Wilson (1995) “A Stochastic Model of Sequential Bargaining with Complete Information,” *Econometrica*, 63: 371-399.
- A. Okada (1996) “A Noncooperative Coalitional Bargaining Game with Random Proposers,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 16: 97-108.
- J. Banks and J. Duggan (2000) “A Bargaining Model of Collective Choice,” *American Political Science Review*, 94: 73-88.
- J. Banks and J. Duggan (2003) “A Bargaining Model of Legislative Policy-making,” mimeo.
- M. Jackson and B. Moselle (2002) “Coalition and Party Formation in a Legislative Voting Game,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 103: 49-87.
- H. Eraslan (2002) “Uniqueness of Stationary Equilibrium Payoffs in the Baron-Ferejohn Model,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 103: 11-30.
- S.-J. Cho and J. Duggan (2002) “Uniqueness of Stationary Equilibria in a One-dimensional Model of Bargaining,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, forthcoming.
- A. Gomes and P. Jehiel (2001) “Dynamic Processes of Social and Economic Interactions: On the Persistence of Inefficiencies,” mimeo.

Some bargaining models don’t fit all that well with the ones above.

Bargaining Models with Other Protocols

- A. Rubinstein (1982) “Perfect Equilibrium in a Bargaining Model,” *Econometrica*, 50: 97-109.

- B. Moldovanu and E. Winter (1995) “Order Independent Equilibria,” *Games and Economic Behavior*, 9: 21-34.
- E. Winter (1997) “Negotiations in Multi-issue Committees,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 65: 323-342.
- H. Konishi and D. Ray (2002) “Coalition Formation as a Dynamic Process,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, forthcoming.
- D. Bernheim, A. Rangel, and L. Rayo (2002) “Democratic Policy Making with Real-Time Agenda Setting, Part 1,” mimeo.

In the above models, bargaining results in a once-and-for-all outcome. What happens when, after an agreement, bargaining continues indefinitely? There has been a bit of work on this.

Bargaining with an Endogenous Status Quo

- T. Romer and H. Rosenthal (1978) “Political Resource Allocation, Controlled Agendas, and the Status Quo,” *Public Choice*, 33: 27-44.
- D. Baron (1996) “A Dynamic Theory of Collective Goods Programs,” *American Political Science Review*, 90: 316-330.
- D. Epple and M. Riordan (1987) “Cooperation and Punishment Under Repeated Majority Voting,” *Public Choice*, 51: 41-73.
- A. Kalandrakis (2003) “A Three-Player Dynamic Majoritarian Bargaining Game,” mimeo.

Finally, a recent paper applies dynamic modeling techniques in the social choice framework.

Dynamic Social Choice

- D. Bernheim and S. Nataraj (2002) “A Solution Concept for Majority Rule in Dynamic Settings,” mimeo.

I also list a number of papers in pure game theory. These are to serve as references — I don’t think we’ll have time to go through them in class.

Repeated Games

- J.-P. Benoit and V. Krishna (1985) “Finitely Repeated Games,” *Econometrica*, 53: 905-922.

- D. Fudenberg and E. Maskin (1986) “The Folk Theorem in Repeated Games with Discounting or with Incomplete Information,” *Econometrica*, 54: 533-554.
- D. Abreu (1988) “On the Theory of Infinitely Repeated Games with Discounting,” *Econometrica*, 56: 383-396.

Games of Perfect Information

- C. Harris (1985) “Existence and Characterization of Perfect Equilibrium in Games of Perfect Information,” *Econometrica*, 53: 613-628.
- M. Hellwig, W. Leininger, P. Reny, and A. Robson (1990) “Subgame Perfect Equilibrium in Continuous Games of Perfect Information: An Elementary Approach to Existence and Approximation by Discrete Games,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 52: 406-422.
- C. Harris, P. Reny, and A. Robson (1995) “The Existence of Subgame-perfect Equilibrium in Continuous Games with Almost Perfect Information: A Case for Public Randomization,” *Econometrica*, 63: 507-544.

Stochastic Games

- P. Dutta (1995) “A Folk Theorem for Stochastic Games,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 66: 1-32.
- P. Dutta and R. Sundaram (1998) “The Equilibrium Existence Problem in General Markovian Games,” in Mukul Majumdar, ed., *Organizations with Incomplete Information: Essays in Economic Analysis, A Tribute to Roy Radner*, Cambridge.

Games of Imperfect Public Information

- D. Abreu, D. Pearce, and E. Stachetti (1990) “Toward a Theory of Discounted Repeated Games with Imperfect Monitoring,” *Econometrica*, 58: 1041-1063.
- D. Fudenberg, D. Levine, and E. Maskin (1994) “The Folk Theorem with Imperfect Public Information,” *Econometrica*, 62: 997-1039.